

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/621,971  | 07/17/2003    | Bernd-Georg Pietras  | MRKS/0039.C1 2036       |                  |  |
| 75  | 90 05/20/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |  |
| William B. Patterson MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP |               |                      | TSAY, FRANK             |                  |  |
| Suite 1500  |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 3040 Post Oak Blvd.                                   |               |                      | 3672                    |                  |  |
| Houston, TX 77056                                     |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |           |
|---|---|---|-----------|
|   | 10/621,971  | PIETRAS, BERND-GEO  | ORG       |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |           |
|   | Frank S Tsay  | 3672  |           |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the d  | correspondence address  | 5         |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE. | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this commun | lication. |
| Status  |   |   |           |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju  | <u>ıly 2003</u> .   |   |           |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | action is non-final.  |   | •         |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan  | ice except for formal matters, pro  | secution as to the mer  | its is    |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E.   |   |   |           |
| Disposition of Claims   | Ì   |   |           |
| 4)  Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.  |   |           |
| Application Papers  |   |   |           |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1                                 |           |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |           |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No. <u>09/868,438</u> .<br>d in this National Stage                        | <b>3</b>  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/17/03.  | 4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:  |   |           |
|   | ion Summary Par   | t of Paper No./Mail Date 200  | 40512     |

Application/Control Number: 10/621,971

Art Unit: 3672

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 3, recitation "for engaging said tubular" is structurally indefinite, because it is not clear which one of the tubulars is referred to. Further, it is also structurally confusing because it is unclear how both the "internal clamping tool" and the "external clamping device" transfer torques to the same "tubular" for connecting multiple "tubulars" set forth in the preamble.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,971

Art Unit: 3672

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,796 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a perusal of the instant claims clearly indicates that the subject matter thereof is fully disclosed by the claims of said patent (see e.g., claim 3) and/or that portion of the patent disclosure which provides support for such claims [see In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438; 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970)]. Therefore, it is axiomatic that the instant claims are nothing more than obvious variations of the inventions disclosed and claimed in said patent and cannot properly issue in the absence of a terminal disclaimer. Furthermore, it is also clear that the inventors could have included the instant claims in said patent and that if the instant application were to issue without a terminal disclaimer protection of the previously patent inventions would be improperly extended until the expiration of the instant claims since the utilization of such inventions would infringe the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank S Tsay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 7:30am-5:00 pm, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J Bagnell can be reached on (703)308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank S Tsay
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3672

5/12/04